UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/574,183	10/03/2006	Rainer Bader	T55120002	7462
	7590 07/30/201 HAMPLIN & KELLY,	EXAMINER		
SUITE 1400	·	SCHILLINGER, ANN M		
900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3774	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/30/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/574,183	BADER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
ANN SCHILLINGER	3774	

	ANN SCHILLINGER	3774					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 15 July 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi	t, or other evidence, w	hich places the				
for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	FR 1.114. The reply must be filed to						
 a)		in the final rejection, whi	chover is later. In				
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1	•						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply original controls.	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL							
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the company. 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by			cause				
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 		E below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or	•	lucing or simplifying tl	ne issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	. 0						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov		l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5,7,9-12 and 15</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been consideration.	ered but does NOT place the applic	ation in condition for a	allowance				
because:	umanta" agatian of the Final Baicat	ion datad 5/14/2010 1	tha rim contains				
As shown in the figure provided in the "Response to Argitwo transitional, concave portions located on the sides of the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon	f the elevated portion. Further, in re	esponse to applicant's	argument that				
on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstructio	n based upon hindsight reasoning.	But so long as it take	es into account				
only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary sk knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971). Applicant's arguments reconsidered, but these features are not limitations in the	such a reconstruction is proper. So garding the surface area of the pea	ee In re McLaughlin, 4	143 F.2d 1392,				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).							
13. Other:							

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

/DAVID ISABELLA/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3774 /A. S./

Examiner, Art Unit 3774

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20100729

Application No.